

Hello There, Guest! [Login](#) [Register](#) [Search](#) | [Image Feed](#) | [Members](#) | [Rules](#) |

Lookism.net - Aesthetics, Red Pill, and Masculinity Discussion > LMS and Red Pill discussions > Looksmaxing ▾
Perspective & Focal Length

[Advertise here](#)**Perspective & Focal Length****Thread Modes**

11-22-2015, 03:31 AM (This post was last modified: 11-22-2015, 03:33 AM by Neck Brah.)

#1

Neck Brah

Senior Member



Posts: 430
Threads: 9
Joined: Jun 2015
Reputation: **405**

If people want morphs done or their FWRH measured, make sure to take the pics from a distance around 1-2 meters. Otherwise, due to the type of lens most phone cameras use you will get incorrect FWRH measurements if taken up close, this also makes it more awkward to morph. Creating the thread so we don't have to keep making countless posts on the subject and so people can be easily linked here.

Quote:

The iPhone's lens has a (35mm equivalent) focal length of **29mm**.

Quote:

You can really see the 5-eye-line in the beauty shot above that was taken from a distance with a long lens. The sides of the model's head are evident.

In the wide-angle closeup shot, the '5-eye line' is almost a '3-eye line,' and the side planes of the model's head are no longer visible.

A simple dolly inward. The box has non-parallel wedge-like sides, as does a skull.

Here is a great post by **The German Dream** which he made back in 2014.

GermanDream Wrote:

TLDR: we could all be a lot uglier or a lot more handsome than we think we are

how do you figure out how you really look like without distance distortion?

this is a picture of the same face at the exact same moment recorded with two cameras. the close one was 45cm away and the far one 135cm using this technique:

As we can see the picture further away is a lot more aesthetic and it was also rated more attractive in the study. I remind you the pictures were taken at the exact same moment with the same lighting, expression etc.

we also all know pictures like that:

which demonstrate mostly the distortion due to distance vs flattening the face at bigger distances.

how do you know how you really look like? if you are too close the camera might make your face too narrow and the nose too big (because it is proportionally a lot closer to the camera now than any other part of the face), if the camera is too far it might give you a wider skull and flatter face.

how do you know how other perceive you?

do you become worse looking the closer the other person is to you? but i've never experienced someone

27

Neck Training Thread: <http://lookism.net/showthread.php?tid=117>Perspective & Focal Length: <http://lookism.net/Thread-Perspective-Focal-Length>**cumdumpster**
NewbiePosts: 7
Threads: 0
Joined: Nov 2015
Reputation: 0

#2

11-22-2015, 03:57 AM (This post was last modified: 11-22-2015, 03:57 AM by cumdumpster.)

i've been tricked all my life

GeneticDeadEnd
His Majesty The King of
Rotterdam VIII
Posts: 4,315
Threads: 58
Joined: Nov 2015
Reputation: 950

#3

11-22-2015, 02:17 PM

Legit, the same thing applies to profile pictures. If you take a picture and have your nose/eyes/mouth in focus they will appear bigger if the focal length isn't high enough.

The German Dream
Legend

Posts: 1,520
Threads: 18
Joined: Jul 2015
Reputation: 140

11-22-2015, 09:38 PM

#4

what ive been asking myself lately tho:

yes, closeup/short focal lenses distort the face in a negative way, there is no doubt, but the question is, when do pictures taken from afar/with long focal length distort the pictures in a positive way?
there must be a certain setting of variables which lead to a most realistic representation of the face with the least possible positive or negative distortion**Decay**

*Is there dignity in living life as an entity?
Born into ruin, we feel withdrawal
Subhumanity is poison
And we are lost without a cure
We are not fit to walk amongst them
Eventually this is something we must accept
The world moves on without us
And only the grave welcomes us with open arms
So pray for your rebirth
Pray for another chance to bloom
While the rats will feed off of our failure
We wither away rotted from the core*

11-23-2015, 12:51 AM

#5

BlueBalls

Monster Poster


 Posts: 6,022
 Threads: 346
 Joined: Sep 2015
 Reputation: 2,512

Find

Reply

Basically use a wide lens

Legit Theory

Irish-Italian


 Posts: 5,753
 Threads: 475
 Joined: Dec 2015
 Reputation: 3,884
 Tinder Matches: 5
 Kisses: 100+
 Slay Count: 37
 Relationships: 9

Find

Reply

12-14-2015, 02:17 AM (This post was last modified: 12-14-2015, 02:18 AM by Legit Theory.)

#6

HEROIN

Ultra Super Poster


 Posts: 5,407
 Threads: 513
 Joined: Sep 2015
 Reputation: 373
 Tinder Matches: 5

Find

Reply

12-14-2015, 01:07 PM

#7

devastatingly attractive

Browcel

Ultra Super Poster


 Posts: 5,345
 Threads: 365
 Joined: Jun 2015
 Reputation: 2,102

Find

Reply

12-26-2015, 07:35 PM

#8

fucking legit

Do you know if you are going to end up in Heaven or Hell when you die? You can know for sure within the next few minutes. Please take a look.

http://www.jesusisprecious.org/fundament..._saved.htm
OldRooster

Ultra Super Poster


 Posts: 4,369
 Threads: 103
 Joined: Aug 2015
 Reputation: 190

12-27-2015, 04:19 PM (This post was last modified: 12-27-2015, 04:24 PM by OldRooster.)

#9

nice summary.

This problem continues to exist because women take more than 80% (made that up, can't be bothered to look up the obvious) of selfies and the narrowing effect of the shorter focal length has a feminizing effect. The lens distortion actually makes fat women look thinner and more feminine. If lens distortion made women fatter or more masculine looking this would be common knowledge and phone camera makers would have better technologies in their phone cameras by now.

Someone should make one of those multifocal length arrays with a woman with a fat face. I'd bet the fattie looks better at 25mm then at 100mm

wow, I just noticed this for the first time despite seeing these types of arrays many times before, the shorter focal lengths cause the hairlines to recess.

Face>(Body/height)>Status>(Location/propinquity)>(Personality/voice)>Money>everything else aka FBSLPM
eye area>jaw line> (Teeth/bridge/philtrum)>skin>hair>skull size>everything else face
The mass of men lead lives of quiet desperation

Repeal the 26th Amendment

Find

Reply

12-28-2015, 06:25 AM

#10

The German Dream

Legend



Posts: 1,520
Threads: 18
Joined: Jul 2015
Reputation: 140

its really astonishing how much better i look when i take pictures from ~2m distance compared to maximum hand reach which is like ~0.8m with a standard phone camera lens (which is 35mm i think). but this then might be distorted in such a way that it favours my looks. im still wondering what distance might represent the most realistic view: i hope its like 2m...but it might actually be closer to like 1m or something

Decay

Is there dignity in living life as an entity?

Born into ruin, we feel withdrawal

Subhumanity is poison

And we are lost without a cure

We are not fit to walk amongst them

Eventually this is something we must accept

The world moves on without us

And only the grave welcomes us with open arms

So pray for your rebirth

Pray for another chance to bloom

While the rats will feed off of our failure

We wither away rotted from the core

Welcome to your new home

[img=0x188]http://31.media.tumblr.com/tumblr_m1bdzt96X1qmyzc7.gif[/img]

Find

Reply

12-28-2015, 07:51 AM

#11

Snake

Member



Posts: 79
Threads: 3
Joined: Dec 2015
Reputation: 0

Women can still pull off a portrait at short focal length, but for most men it's a death sentence. Makes face narrower, completely kills jawline and chin, just messes up all proportions. Stay the fuck away from selfies.



Find

Reply

01-03-2016, 05:27 AM

#12

OldRooster Wrote:

(12-27-2015, 04:19 PM)

nice summary.

This problem continues to exist because women take more than 80% (made that up, can't be bothered to look up the obvious) of selfies and the narrowing effect of the shorter focal length has a feminizing effect. The lens distortion actually makes fat women look thinner and more feminine. If lens distortion made women fatter or more masculine looking this would be common knowledge and phone camera makers would have better technologies in their phone cameras by now.

Someone should make one of those multifocal length arrays with a woman with a fat face. I'd bet the fattie looks better at 25mm then at 100mm

wow, I just noticed this for the first time despite seeing these types of arrays many times before, the shorter focal lengths cause the hairlines to recess.

FireHaze
Vaginas are icky



Posts: 8,807
Threads: 1,216
Joined: Jun 2015
Reputation: 10,888
Tinder Matches: Zero
Dates: Zero
Kisses: Zero
Slay Count: Virgin
Relationships: Zero

36 captures

15 Dec 2015 - 12 May 2019

Women can still pull off a portrait at short focal length, but for most men it's narrower, completely kills jawline and chin, just messes up all proportions. Stay the fuck away from selfies.



These two posts confirm my hunch that women are far more photogenic than men lol

Find

Reply

01-04-2016, 03:44 AM (This post was last modified: 01-04-2016, 03:46 AM by OldRooster.) #13

OldRooster

Ultra Super Poster



Posts: 4,369
Threads: 103
Joined: Aug 2015
Reputation: 190

I have found a website that provides seemingly legit, comprehensive and intelligent ratings of cameras. Based upon its ratings of compact cameras for portraits I am going to order the Fujifilm S1, it is not the top rated portrait camera, but it is the highest rated portrait camera under \$500 and it has a fully articulated viewing screen and everything a sane person could want in a camera.

<http://cameradecision.com/features/Best...photography>. There are places on the web that sell it for under \$300.

I also did some research on selfie sticks, and came to the conclusion they are worthless (unless you are taking pictures of your ass) as they will shake too much and give a blurry picture

Face>(Body/height)>Status>(Location/propinquity)>(Personality/voice)>Money>everything else aka FBSLPM
eye area>jaw line> (Teeth/bridge/philtrum)>skin>hair>skull size>everything else face
The mass of men lead lives of quiet desperation

Repeal the 26th Amendment

Find

Reply

01-04-2016, 07:15 AM #14

dead_inside

Member



Posts: 184
Threads: 21
Joined: Dec 2015
Reputation: 0

OldRooster Wrote:

(01-04-2016, 03:44 AM)

I have found a website that provides seemingly legit, comprehensive and intelligent ratings of cameras. Based upon its ratings of compact cameras for portraits I am going to order the Fujifilm S1, it is not the top rated portrait camera, but it is the highest rated portrait camera under \$500 and it has a fully articulated viewing screen and everything a sane person could want in a camera.

<http://cameradecision.com/features/Best...photography>. There are places on the web that sell it for under \$300.

I also did some research on selfie sticks, and came to the conclusion they are worthless (unless you are taking pictures of your ass) as they will shake too much and give a blurry picture

I think the most important would be getting a stand so you can get reasonably far from the camera (at least 2m far) and use a timer with your shots or maybe nowadays you can control the camera with an app or something

Find

Reply

01-05-2016, 06:12 PM #15

Snake

Member



Posts: 79
Threads: 3
Joined: Dec 2015
Reputation: 0

OldRooster Wrote:

(01-04-2016, 03:44 AM)

I have found a website that provides seemingly legit, comprehensive and intelligent ratings of cameras. Based upon its ratings of compact cameras for portraits I am going to order the Fujifilm S1, it is not the top rated portrait camera, but it is the highest rated portrait camera under \$500 and it has a fully articulated viewing screen and everything a sane person could want in a camera.

<http://cameradecision.com/features/Best...photography>. There are places on the web that sell it for under

36 captures

15 Dec 2015 - 12 May 2019

I also did some research on selfie sticks, and came to the conclusion they are taking pictures of your ass) as they will shake too much and give a blurry picture

No.

When it comes to proper cameras, it's all about the size of the sensor. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image_sensor_format

That Fuji S1 has a tiny 1/2.3" sensor. Basically, it'll produce pictures not much better than phones.

If you want something light, compact and cheap, I suggest you get Sony A6000 (APS-C sensor, 12 times larger surface area than 1/2.3") and SEL50F18 lens (best for portraits).

PS - aren't you super rich? If I were you, I'd actually get something along the lines of Sony A7RII + Zeiss Batis 85mm. This is the sort of quality you can expect with this combo:

01-06-2016, 06:50 AM

#16

Neck Brah

Senior Member



Posts: 430
Threads: 9
Joined: Jun 2015
Reputation: 405

Selecting a Portrait Lens With Correct Focal Length

<http://petapixel.com/2016/01/04/selecting-a-portrait-lens-with-correct-focal-length/>

Quote:

Hence whatever facial feature happens to be closest to the lens will take up more real estate on the film plane than those featured that biologically occur farther away. The one unfortunate body part that most often bears the brunt of this inevitability is the nose. In the drawing below you can see how the distance from lens to the nose vs. eyebrow changes with various focal lengths – the shorter the lens the greater the difference in those distances and the bigger the nose will appear in the photo.

Neck Training Thread: <http://lookism.net/showthread.php?tid=117>

Perspective & Focal Length: <http://lookism.net/Thread-Perspective-Focal-Length>

01-06-2016, 06:51 AM

#17

Reflex

cuckold



Posts: 16,235
Threads: 1,685
Joined: Jul 2015
Reputation: 3,962
Slay Count: 3
Relationships: Zero

sooo take pics from far away?



Posts: 2,238
Threads: 370
Joined: Jul 2015
Reputation: 459

Find

Reply

Reflex Wrote:

sooo take pics from far away?

depends on your features. i look better when close (protruding ears, small nose).

Trunks

Senior Member



Posts: 955
Threads: 61
Joined: Sep 2015
Reputation: 426

Find

#19

01-26-2016, 10:24 PM

Awersome thread!

50mm to 80mm looks great

Reply

:=)

Super Poster



Posts: 1,509
Threads: 145
Joined: Aug 2015
Reputation: 65

Find

#20

01-27-2016, 06:41 PM (This post was last modified: 11-13-2016, 04:12 PM by :=).)

click to edit

Reply

The German Dream

Legend



Posts: 1,520
Threads: 18
Joined: Jul 2015
Reputation: 140

Find

#21

Reflex Wrote:

(01-06-2016, 06:51 AM)

sooo take pics from far away?

yes, but it will be frauding. big distance will distort the face in a positive way, small distance will distort in a negative way

Decay

*Is there dignity in living life as an entity?
Born into ruin, we feel withdrawal
Subhumanity is poison
And we are lost without a cure
We are not fit to walk amongst them
Eventually this is something we must accept
The world moves on without us
And only the grave welcomes us with open arms
So pray for your rebirth
Pray for another chance to bloom
While the rats will feed off of our failure
We wither away rotted from the core
Welcome to your new home*

[img=0x188]http://31.media.tumblr.com/tumblr_m1bdzt96X1qmyzc7.gif[/img]

Reply

Jim Ferguson

Banned

Posts: 165
Threads: 14
Joined: Feb 2016

Find

#22

02-05-2016, 01:48 PM

Goddamn you just put a fucking name on my #rare fetish. I'm definitely aroused by pictures of girls when the focus is very close.

redpill mandem

Senior Member

Posts: 687
Threads: 17
Joined: Feb 2016
Reputation: 463[Find](#)[Reply](#)

Bump for camera can actually make you uglier theory

ZordoNado

JEW

Posts: 592
Threads: 58
Joined: Jun 2015
Reputation: 116[Find](#)[Reply](#)

03-20-2016, 07:44 PM

#24

Surgeons take pics with 122mm lens from about 2m distance and focus to your face

AsymmetryIsDeath

Mega Super Poster

Posts: 2,240
Threads: 99
Joined: Apr 2017
Reputation: 1,268[Find](#)[Reply](#)**The German Dream Wrote:**

(01-28-2016, 11:41 PM)

Reflex Wrote:

(01-06-2016, 06:51 AM)

sooo take pics from far away?

yes, but it will be frauding. big distance will distort the face in a positive way, small distance will distort in a negative way

how tf is it frauding if it's what you look like irl retard

[Enter Keywords](#)[Search Thread](#)[Subscribe to this thread](#)**Forum Jump:** -- Looksmaxing[▼](#)[Go](#)